UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,287	10/09/2003	Krishna Balachandran	29250-001082/US	9239
	7590 07/08/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 8910		LAM, DUNG LE		
Reston, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/681,287	BALACHANDRAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	DUNG LAM	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the con	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external house of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)).	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	thin the time period set forth in 37 to	SFR 41.37(a).	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mnliant Amondment (DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		npliant Amendment (FTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: .		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, and 7-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Nick Corsaro/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The 112 first rejection has been overcame. However, the deletion of the limitation "if the second frequency does not correspond to a known frequency based on the broadcast multicast service flow identifier" has broadened the scope of the claim and thus requires further search and consideration.

Sinnarajah teaches triggering a registration when a user does not desire to tune to the current channel at different time instances. This means when a period of time has past, a user's desire is changed, the timer/counter is changed along with the desired frequency or flow is changed, then a registration is triggered. Thus Sinnarajah's registation is triggered when at least two conditions (time along with frequency or flow) change and not just frequency or flow alone change (see paragraphs 72-75). Thus Sinnarajah still broadly reads on the claims.